

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Netional Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

NATIONAL MARINE FISHERIES SERVICE Northwest Region 7600 Sand Point Way N.E., Bldg. 1 Seattle, WA 98115

November 18, 2002

Thomas F. Mueller Regulatory Branch Chief Corps of Engineers P.O. Box 3755 Seattle, WA 98124-3755

Re: Endangered Species Act Section 7 Informal Consultation and Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act Essential Fish Habitat Consultation for Maintenance Dredging of Ash Grove Loading Facility, Duwamish Waterway, Seattle, Washington (NOAA Fisheries No. WHB-02-244, COE No. 2001-1-00155)

Attention: Suzanne Skadowski

Dear Mr. Mueller.

This correspondence is in response to your request for consultation under the Endangered Species Act (ESA). Additionally, this letter serves to meet the requirements for consultation under the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (MSA §305(b)).

Endangered Species Act

The National Marine Fisheries Service (NOAA Fisheries) has reviewed your June 19, 2002 request for concurrence with your findings of "may affect, not likely to adversely affect" for Puget Sound (PS) chinook salmon (Oncorlymchus tshawytscha) and the leatherback sea turtle (Dermochelys corincea) for the above referenced project. This consultation with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (COE) is conducted under section 7(a)(2) of the ESA, and its implementing regulations, 50 C.F.R. Part 402.

According to the Biological Evaluation (BE) and additional reference material, the COE proposes to allow maintenance dredging within a ten year period. Because of the uncertainty of the impacts of future dredging, NOAA Fisheries can only concur on a single dredging action at this time. The dredging area is 130 by 30 feet (3,900 square feet) and will occur in water depths that range from -16 to -30 MLLW. Material to be removed is due to operational spillage and will be reincorporated in the cement manufacturing process. Ash Grove will be implementing improvements to their material handling equipment to reduce future operation spillage to lessen the need for future dredging.







NOAA Fisheries has determined that it is unlikely that leatherback sea turtle would be present in the project area and therefore consider it to be a "no effect" for that species. NOAA Fisheries concurs with your findings of "may affect, not likely to adversely affect," to the Puget Sound chinook salmon because of the reasons provided in the BE and subsequent correspondence: 1) the project will be done when juvenile salmon will not be present; 2) water quality standards imposed by the Washington State Department of Ecology will be adhered to, 3) Best Management Practices (BMPs) will be employed to minimize the potential for construction related activities to affect aquatic species and their habitat, and 4) improvements to prevent future spillage will be implemented.

This concludes informal consultation on this proposed action in accordance with 50 C.F.R. 0402.14(b)(1). The COE has agreed to re-analyze this ESA consultation if there is more than one dredge activity within the ten year period. The COE must also re-analyze this ESA consultation if: (1) new information reveals effects of the action that may affect listed species in a way not previously considered: (2) new information reveals the action causes an effect to listed species that was not previously considered; or (3) a new species is listed or critical habitat designated that may be affected by the identified actions.

Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act

Federal agencies are required, under §305(b)(2) of the MSA and its implementing regulations (50 C.F.R. 600 Subpart K), to consult with NOAA Fisheries regarding actions that are authorized, funded, or undertaken by that agency that may adversely affect Essential Fish Habitat (EFH). The MSA (§3) defines EFH as "those waters and substrate necessary to fish for spawning, breeding, feeding, or growth to maturity." If an action would adversely affect EFH, NOAA Fisheries is required to provide the Federal action agency with EFH conservation recommendations (MSA §305(b)(4)(A)). This consultation is based, in part, on information provided by the Federal agency and descriptions of EFH for Pacific coast groundfish, coastal pelagic species, and Pacific salmon contained in the Fishery Management Plans developed by the Pacific Fishery Management Council and approved by the Secretary of Commerce.

The proposed action and action area are described in the Biological Evaluation submitted by the COE. The project area includes habitat in the Puget Sound EFH composite which has been designated as EFH for various life stages of 46 species of groundfish, four species of coastal pelagics, and three species of Pacific salmon (see enclosure Table 1).

EFH Conservation Recommendations: Because the habitat requirements (i.e., EFH) for the MSA-managed species in the project area are similar to that of the ESA-listed species, and because the conservation measures that the COE included as part of the proposed action to address ESA concerns are also adequate to avoid, minimize, or otherwise offset potential adverse effects to designated EFH, conservation recommendations pursuant to MSA (§305(b)(4)(A)) are not necessary. Since NOAA Fisheries is not providing conservation recommendations at this time, no 30-day response from the COE is required (MSA §305(b)(4)(B)).

This concludes consultation under the MSA. If the proposed action is modified in a manner that may adversely affect EFH, or if new information becomes available that affects the basis for NOAA Fisheries' EFH conservation recommendations, the COE will need to reinitiate consultation in accordance with implementing regulations for EFH at 50 C.F.R. 600.920(k).

The efforts by the COE and Ash Grove Cement Company to design this project to minimize environmental impacts are appreciated. If you have any questions, please contact Shandra O'Haleck, of my staff, at (360) 753-9533.

Sincerely,

D. Robert Lohn

Regional Administrator

cc: Jay Spearman, Agent

Table 1. Species of fishes with designated EFH occurring in Puget Sound.

Groundfish	redstripe rockfish	Dover sole
Species	S. proriger	Microstomus pacificus
spiny dogfish	rosethorn rockfish	English sole
Squalus acanthias	S. helvomaculatus	Parophrys vetulus
big skate	rosy rockfish	flathead sole
Raja binoculata	S. rosaceus	Hippoglossoides elassodon
California skate	rougheye rockfish	petrale sole
Raja inornata	S. aleutianus	Eopsetta jordani
longnose skate	sharpchin rockfish	rex sole
Raja rhina	S. zacentrus	Glyptocephalus zachirus
ratfish	splitnose rockfish	rock sole
Hydrolagus colliei	S. diploproa	Lepidopsetta bilineata
Pacific cod	striptail rockfish	sand sole
Gadus macrocephalus	S. saxicola	Psettichthys melanosticius
Pacific whiting (hake)	tiger rockfish	starry flounder
Mertuccius productus	S. nigrocinctus	Platichthys stellatus
black rockfish	vermilion rockfish	arrowtooth flounder
Sebastes melanops	S. miniatus	Atheresthes stomias
bocaccio	yelloweye rockfish	
S. paucispinis	S. ruberrimus	
brown rockfish	yellowtail rockfish	Coastal Pelagic
S. auriculatus	S. flavidus	Species
салагу rockfish	shortspine thornyhead	anchovy
S. pinniger	Sebastolobus alascanus	Engraulis mordax
China rockfish	cabezon	Pacific sardine
S. nebulosus	Scorpaenichthys marmoratus	Sardinops sagax
copper rockfish	lingcod	Pacific mackerel
S. caurinus	Ophiodon elongatus	Scomber japonicus
darkblotch rockfish	kelp greenling	market squid
S. crameri	Hexagrammos decagrammus	Loligo opalescens
greensniped rockfish	sablefish	Pacific Salmon
S. elongatus	Anoplopoma fimbria	Species
Pacific ocean perch	Pacific sanddab	chinook salmon
S. alutus	Citharichthys sordidus	Oncorhynchus tshawytscha
quillback rockfish	butter sole	coho salmon
S. maliger	lsopsetta isolepis	O. kisutch
redbanded rockfish	curlfin sole	Puget Sound pink salmon
S. habcocki	Pleuronichthys decurrens	O. gorbuscha